EXHIBIT 50

From: Hansen, Gary
Sent: 10/20/2010 12:17:16 AM
Subject: Fwd: HIS Poster
Attachments: ATT22584016.htm; PE Statistics.xlsx

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hansen, Gary" <ghansen@arizant.com>
Date: October 19, 2010 5:38:17 PM CDT
To: <kuelpmann@beuth-hochschule.de>
Cc: < Privacy >
Subject: HIS Poster

Ruediger,

I wanted to thank you for your participation in the HIS poster. I was in Liverpool a last week; the presentation was well received.

I had a brief question. How should one handle statistics in comparing protection levels? It seems reasonable to treat the particle counts as continuous variables – they really comprise a particle concentration. Then one can use a simple T-test to compare them. I took a brief attempt at this, finding none of the differences to be statistically significant. Do you think this is the right approach? I note that the number of samples is small.

Alternately, since PE is calculated based on only sample (the worst case), would it make more sense to use the underlying error of the particle sampling device?

I'd welcome your thoughts.

Best Regards,

Gary

Gary Hansen, Ph.D.

Director of Research & Development

3MBH01223923

Arizant Healthcare Inc.

Email: ghansen@arizant.com

Phone: 952-947-1388

Fax: 952-918-5388

3MBH01223924